

# The nature of mutual recognition in European Law : re-examining the notion from an individual rights perspective with a view to its further development in the criminal justice area

Citation for published version (APA):

van Ballegooij, W. F. W. (2015). *The nature of mutual recognition in European Law : re-examining the notion from an individual rights perspective with a view to its further development in the criminal justice area*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Intersentia. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20150709wb>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2015

## DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20150709wb](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20150709wb)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 08 May. 2024

## PROPOSITIONS RELATING TO THE DISSERTATION

### **The nature of mutual recognition in European Law Re-examining the notion from an individual rights perspective with a view to its further development in the criminal justice area**

by Wouter van Ballegooij – 9 July 2015

1. The core function of the principle of mutual recognition is to make sure that interests of European integration are taken into account;
2. Mutual recognition is best depicted as a wave, part of a virtual sea between Member States on which factual and legal situations established in other Member States are 'riding';
3. Assertions made by EU policy makers regarding the consequences of mutual recognition have obscured its core function, and have led to an academic debate in which mutual recognition is either defended, rejected, or in which conditions for and exceptions to its application are sought;
4. The lack of clarity concerning the aims of the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice undermines the status of mutual recognition as a principle of European Law;
5. The interpretation of mutual recognition of the Court of Justice is not in line with primary and even secondary EU law implementing mutual recognition, which does not make a choice in favour of free movement over other interests and in casu foresees a recognition procedure with exceptions;
6. The current identification of mutual recognition with the free movement of judicial decisions, leads to situations in which individuals lose the protections they enjoy under national criminal law;
7. The Court of Justice needs to develop a 'rule of reason' for surrender procedures based on primary EU law to fill the current gap in protection for individuals subject to a European Arrest Warrant;
8. Conflicts regarding supremacy of EU law, and responsibility for fundamental rights protection can only be avoided if EU criminal justice legislation is aimed at complying with the Charter, the ECHR as well as respecting national constitutional standards of a higher level than the common denominator;
9. Inter-institutional negotiations in the European criminal justice area need to be improved to ensure the quality and coherence of the resulting legislation;
10. The European Justice Agenda fails to meet the stated objective of offering citizens an Area in which they can feel secure and confident, and enjoy the same rights wherever they are; it still regards EU citizens as objects of judicial cooperation rather than rights holders;
11. This analysis of the nature of mutual recognition and the challenges posed by its application to specific fields of European law holds up a mirror for policy makers, administrative and judicial authorities at national and EU level to the benefit of individuals within the EU.