

Accountability for human rights violations by UN peacekeepers

Citation for published version (APA):

van de Put, S. (2023). Accountability for human rights violations by UN peacekeepers: a legal and theoretical perspective. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Maastricht University. https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20230912sp

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2023

DOI:

10.26481/dis.20230912sp

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 29 Apr. 2024



- 1. Allowing for immunities on the grounds that actors within international law make a positive contribution, in general, sets a dangerous precedent. It leads to a lack of accountability and changes legal reasoning into legitimacy-based reasoning.
- An overly formalistic approach to international law may leave it unable to offer the accountability that it was supposed to guarantee.
- 3. If it looks like an orange, smells like an orange, tastes like an orange, it might, even when considering international legal reasoning, actually be an orange.
- 4. Approaching law from a purely formalistic viewpoint can make legal reasoning a moot point. Especially within international law, what and how we intend to accomplish the underlying goals should form a key question.
- 5. The effect of international law on victims of violations should be a primary consideration of all international legal research.
- 6. Accountability is always difficult—this should, however, only affect the form and not lead to a rejection of the concept.
- 7. Often, international law is simply the translation of established concepts to new situations.
- 8. Peace without accountability is not a statement that should only apply to warring parties but also to parties that guarantee that peace.
- 9. Manoeuvres and chapter deadlines are strange bedfellows.