

Physicial activity, sedentary behaviour and markers of cardiovascular and brain diseases

Citation for published version (APA):

Vandercappellen, E. J. (2023). Physicial activity, sedentary behaviour and markers of cardiovascular and brain diseases: Does one size fit all? [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Maastricht University. https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20230703ev

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/2023

DOI: 10.26481/dis.20230703ev

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

 A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

 The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these riahts.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Chapter seven

Summary and general discussion

Summary and general discussion

Cardiovascular and brain diseases are in the top 10 of leading causes of death globally.¹ The pathophysiology of these diseases is complex, but lifestyle factors (like diet and physical activity) are important modifiable risk factors.^{2,3} International guidelines recommend at least 150 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity per day and limiting sedentary time.⁴ However, the knowledge about the effect of different intensities and patterns of physical activity on health related outcomes is limited.⁴ Also, in individuals with type 2 diabetes the effect of physical activity can be more beneficial, possibly due to the more severe endothelial dysfunction in type 2 diabetes.⁵

In this final chapter of this thesis, the main findings of the manuscripts are summarised and discussed in the context of current scientific literature and methodological considerations. Additionally, future directions of research will be discussed in the view of this thesis.

Main findings

In the first part, all analyses were done in a data set of The Maastricht study. This is a population-based observational cohort with an oversampling of individuals with type 2 diabetes.⁶

In **chapter two**, we investigated the association between physical activity and sedentary time on the one hand and cardiac biomarkers (cardiac troponins and NT-proBNP) on the other. We did not find an association between physical activity and sedentary time and cardiac troponins. In contrast, regular vigorous intensity physical activity and possibly moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity was associated with lower levels of NT-proBNP.

In **chapter three**, we investigated the association between physical activity and sedentary behaviour and arterial stiffness (which was assessed by carotid-to-femoral pulse wave velocity and carotid distensibility coefficient). We found an association between total higher intensity physical activity and carotid-to-femoral pulse wave velocity but not with carotid distensibility coefficient, which was stronger in individuals with type 2 diabetes. The insufficiently actives, weekend warriors and regularly actives had statistically significantly lower carotid-to-femoral pulse wave velocity, compared to the inactives (<75minutes of higher intensity physical activity), but there were no statistical differences between these three groups.

In **chapter four**, we investigated the association between sedentary behaviour and physical activity and biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation.

Chapter 7

Higher levels of different intensities of physical activity were associated with lower levels of biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation. Also, more regularity of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity was advantageous for the biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation. Higher sedentary time was associated with higher levels of biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation. All the associations between physical activity and biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction were stronger in individuals with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes than in people with normal glucose metabolism.

In **chapter five**, we investigated the association between physical activity and sedentary time and structural brain abnormalities. In general, more and more regular physical activity was associated with less lacunar infarcts and higher grey matter volume. There were no significant associations between physical activity and white matter volume and hyperintensities; nor between sedentary behaviour and structural brain abnormalities. However, we did find a harmful but potentially important association between moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity with cerebral microbleeds, which requires conformation.

In the second part, for **chapter six**, we used data of a randomized controlled trial with individuals with type 2 diabetes (LiPAT: Light intensity Physical Activity Trial). During this trial, we investigated whether a 6-month program to increase light intensity physical activity and decrease sedentary time (with 6 months follow-up) had effects on macrovascular and microvascular function, blood pressure, lipids, glucose, HbA1c, body composition, physical function, depressive symptoms and quality of life. In general, there was no difference between the control and intervention group in physical activity and sedentary time after 6 and 12 months. Also, there were no differences between the control and intervention group secondary outcomes.

Interpretation of the main Findings

Physical activity and sedentary behaviour and cardiac biomarkers

(chapter 2)

Cardiac biomarkers (defined as cardiac troponins and NT-proBNP) are markers for cardiac injury.^{7,8} As shown by previous research, cardiac troponins can be released by acute bouts of physical activity⁹⁻¹², possibly caused by reversible injury, increased cardiomyocyte turnover, apoptosis and myocardial necrosis but the underlying mechanism is not completely understood.¹³⁻¹⁵ However, physical activity is considered essential for a healthy lifestyle.⁴ We studied "usual" or habitual levels or patterns of

physical activity and sedentary time, which is another way of exercise than acute bouts. So we did not find an association between physical activity (intensity and pattern) and sedentary time and cardiac troponins in our study. This indicates that usual levels of physical activity do not give cardiac damage (indicated by higher levels of cardiac troponins) and physical activity can be seen as a healthy habit.

Our results for NT-proBNP showed that vigorous (>11 minutes) and possibly moderateto-vigorous intensity physical activity was associated lower levels of NT-proBNP, which was in line with earlier research.^{16,17} This result suggest that the intensity of physical activity (in this case at least moderate-to-vigorous intensity) is important for the level of NT-proBNP, which is also supported by the associations between the pattern of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity and NT-proBNP. This suggests that performing regular physical activity reduces cardiac stress (as measured by NT-proBNP).

There was no difference in individuals with or without type 2 diabetes for all these results. So the beneficial effect was of similar strength in both groups. Individuals with type 2 diabetes could have (in general) higher levels of cardiac biomarkers.¹⁸⁻²³ A possible explanation can be the relative low levels of cardiac biomarkers in The Maastricht study, which indicates a population with low levels of cardiac damage.

Physical activity and sedentary behaviour and arterial stiffness (chapter 3)

Our results show an inverse association between higher intensity physical activity and carotid-to-femoral pulse wave velocity, which was stronger in individuals with type 2 diabetes. In general, these results are consistent with earlier research.²⁴⁻²⁷ However, the observation that the association is stronger in individuals with type 2 diabetes is novel. Also the results with regard to different patterns is an extension on previous research.²⁶ There are different mechanisms that are thought to be the involved in the relationship between physical activity and arterial stiffness.²⁸⁻³² Physical activity reduces vascular oxidative stress, and shear stress increases the production of endothelial nitric oxide (NO) and increases blood flow which also increases NO and improves vessel wall homeostasis.²⁸⁻³⁰ Another mechanism is the decrease of sympathetic hyperactivity and an improved sympatho-vagal balance which may decrease arterial stiffness.^{31,32} It is also thought that regional arterial stiffening is affected differently by risk factors (as physical activity), which can explain that there was no association between physical activity and carotid distensibility coefficient.³³ However, the exact mechanism is poorly understood.

The pattern (regularity) of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity was not important in relation to arterial stiffness, which was also shown in earlier research for

different health outcomes (CVD, metabolic syndrome and mortality).^{25,34-36} Our results show that some moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity is better than none for arterial stiffness.

Physical activity and sedentary behaviour and endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation (chapter 4)

As consistent but more extensive (characterisation of physical activity and biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation) with earlier research, all intensities of physical activity were associated with biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation.³⁷⁻⁴⁴ Also the regularity of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity is important in relation to endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation. The mechanism for endothelial dysfunction is the same as explained in the part on arterial stiffness above. For low-grade inflammation, the underlying mechanism is not completely understood, but thought to relate to reduced adipose tissue, changes in number of (immune) cells and a reduction of toll-like receptors.^{45,46} Earlier research showed an association between sedentary time and biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation⁴⁷⁻⁵¹, as is consistent with our results. Shear stress is reduced by sedentary behaviour, which decreases endothelial oxide nitric synthase and decreases bioavailability of NO.⁴⁷

For individuals with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes, the association between physical activity and biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction were consistently stronger compared to the individuals with normal glucose metabolism. The mechanism behind the stronger association is not completely understood, but physical activity can possibly interrupt the vicious cycle of hyperglycaemia and impaired microvascular endothelial function, by improving endothelial function. However, a short-term study did not find a difference in biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction between individuals with and without type 2 diabetes.⁴⁴ In our study, physical activity is a reflection of long-term habits, which makes it possible that long-term physical activity is needed to improve endothelial dysfunction. But further research is required.

Physical activity and sedentary behaviour and structural brain abnormalities (chapter 5)

In general, the finding that more moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity is associated with less lacunar infarcts is consistent with literature⁵²⁻⁵⁴, although our data suggest that the intensity is important (minimal moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity). Our results showed a protective effect of physical activity on grey matter volume. Earlier research was not completely consistent and some studies showed a

similar result⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷, whereas others did not.^{58,59} The pathophysiological mechanism is not completely understood, but it is thought that physical activity increases cerebral blood flow and angiogenesis and promotes upregulation of neurotrophic factors which is involved in the neurogenesis.^{57,60,61} Also, our results suggest that regularity of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity is important for less lacunar infarcts and more grey matter volume, which had never been studied before (as far as we are aware).

Earlier research was inconsistent about the associations between physical activity and white matter volume and hyperintensities.^{54,58,59,62-64} Our data showed no association between physical activity and white matter volume/hyperintensities.

Our study suggest that moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity can have a potential harmful effect on the development of cerebral microbleeds, which was not found in earlier research.^{54,65} However, the peak blood pressure during physical activity is a potential mechanism to provoke cerebral microbleeds.⁶⁶ Also peak blood pressure is a predictor for cardiovascular complications and incident hypertension.⁶⁶ However, in our study we did not find an interaction with systolic blood pressure (office and 24h) hypertension. So further investigation is needed to confirm these results.

LiPAT (chapter six)

Our study showed no differences between the control and intervention group after 6 and 12 months in any intensity of physical activity and sedentary time. Earlier research is limited and mostly short-term for increasing light intensity physical activity and reducing sedentary time in individuals with type 2 diabetes. One 3 year study in individuals with type 2 diabetes showed a positive effect with intensive coaching on increasing light intensity physical activity, reducing sedentary time and some cardiometabolic outcome.^{67,68} Also other studies in individuals with type 2 diabetes have shown a reduction in sedentary time by a lifestyle intervention⁶⁹ or coaching with a wearable intervention.⁷⁰ As we compare these results with our study, the intensity and way of coaching is an essential point in making a long-term behaviour change in individuals with type 2 diabetes, which is also seen in the DIRECT trial.⁷¹ Therefore, in further research it is important to take the intensity and way of coaching into account. Further, in retrospect, our intervention might have been too difficult for the target population (2 applications and a watch).

Methodological considerations

In the first part of this thesis, the studies used data of The Maastricht study, a population based cohort study in the Netherlands. In the second part, we used data of a clinical

225

trial. With all the results, methodological strength and limitations have to be taken into account. In the section below, this will be discussed.

Internal validity

Information bias

Assessment of physical activity and sedentary behaviour

Physical activity and sedentary behaviour are in all chapters measured by activPAL. As mentioned before, activPAL is an accelerometer that was worn for 8 consecutive days and is an appropriate measurement for research. It was attached waterproof to the right leg.

In chapter 2,3,4 and 5, the measurement represents the 'usual/habitual' physical activity and sedentary behaviour. However, we only measure 8 days, which may be limited to represent the 'usual' physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Earlier research showed, however, that accelerometer measurements from five days are already reliable to represent the 'usual' physical activity⁷², which makes it reasonable that eight days of measurement is enough.

In chapter 6, we measured physical activity and sedentary behaviour only at baseline, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months and saw no differences over that time. Although this was done to make the study as minimally invasive as possible, maybe the time between the measurements was too long. It is also known that behavioural changes are the greatest in the beginning when the participant is the most motivated. So there is a possibility that we missed the change in physical activity and sedentary behaviour during the first three months. However, this change, if any, was not sustainable. Also, the COVID-19 lockdowns were during the trial. This limited the options for physical activity by the participants and our participants had type 2 diabetes, which was a risk factor in the development of severe COVID-19. So, this also may reduced physical activity of the participants.

Assessment of markers for cardiovascular disease

In chapters 2 and 4, we measured biomarkers. All these biomarkers are well known for their relationship with cardiovascular diseases.^{8,13,73-80}

In chapters 3 and 6 we used carotid-to-femoral pulse wave velocity to represent aortic stiffness. These measurements were performed by a well-trained nurse/researcher and are the most non-invasive accurate way to get information on the aortic stiffness. We also performed measurements on the carotid artery. In chapter 6, due to technical problems, we changed the equipment, which could have led to differences. However, a validation study was performed by K. Reesink et al ('unpublished observations') showed no differences in the measurement outcomes.

Assessment of markers for brain disease

In chapter 5, we measured structural brain abnormalities by MRI. MRI is known as the best non-invasive way to measure structural brain abnormalities.⁸¹

In chapter 6, we only used questionnaires for quality of life and depression. All questionnaires were validated.⁸²⁻⁸⁴ The participant was asked to fill in this questionnaires at the end of every study visit, while having a breakfast.

Confounding/overadjustment bias

Confounding bias is a one of the major concerns for the internal validity of a study.⁸⁵ In the observational Maastricht study, many potential confounders were measured and in chapters 2,3,4 and 5 we adjusted the associations for potential confounders. However, it is impossible to fully exclude residual confounding.⁸⁵ In our studies, we performed multiple sensitivity analyses to reduce the possible residual confounding showing the robustness of our findings.

By adjusting for multiple covariates, it is possible that we have also adjusted for mediators, which is overadjustment. Therefore, we used different models to adjust the associations. In chapters 2,3,4,5, model 2 involved potential confounders, while model 3 involved potential confounders/mediators; in this way, potential overadjustment can be assessed.

Selection bias

In The Maastricht study, participants were recruited by mass media campaigns and via mailing from the municipal registries and diabetes patient registry. This way of recruitment was done to receive a good representation of the general population. However, the means of inclusion may have led to overselection of healthy individuals - who tend to be more likely to participate in clinical trials (86). The Maastricht study was promoted as a 'health check', so it may be that individuals who are more interested in their own health would participate more likely than individuals who are not interested in their own health, which could result in a healthier cohort than the general population.

Some measurements had specific in- and exclusion criteria in The Maastricht study. For example, the MRI measurements (chapter 5) had several exclusion criteria such as too large body size or metal inside the body. This excludes some unhealthier individuals, which can result in selection bias. The measurement of aortic stiffness (chapter 3), was only performed in individuals without a carotid plaque for safety reasons, which can also give selection bias. In most studies (except for chapter 5), we only used complete-cases analyses. However, in most chapters (2,3,4) we compared the in- and excluded individuals. In general, the characteristics were similar, which limits the effect of selection bias from the participants in the study.

For the recruitment of LiPAT (chapter 6), we recruited participants by online and paper advertisements and by alerting general practitioners. The goal was to include a reflection of the population of individuals with type 2 diabetes. When we look at the LiPAT participants, participants had different treatments (diet, oral medication, insulin or combination), which limits the selection bias. However, due to the inclusion criteria (BMI<35 kg/m², being able to walk 10 minutes and not more than 150minutes of physical activity/week), healthier and unhealthier individuals were excluded, which limits the generalizability of this study.

External validity

In the first part (chapter 2,3,4,5), we used data of The Maastricht study. This is an observational population-based cohort study in the southern part of the Netherlands which included individuals between the age of 40 to 75. The inclusion criteria of The Maastricht Study imply that the results of the studies conducted using The Maastricht Study cannot necessarily be extrapolated to other individuals. Especially the age of the participants makes it difficult to project the results on younger individuals. In The Maastricht study most of the participants were Caucasian, which limits the generalizability. Also, in The Netherlands, lifestyle factors can be different than in other cohorts. For example, the infrastructure for cycling or walking is good, which allow people to go somewhere by bike/foot, which contributes to physical activity.⁸⁷ This is not the case in every country, which can make a difference in physical activity in another population cohort. Also, it is known that the individuals in Maastricht and the surrounding area have a more burgundian lifestyle, which includes different types and amount of food that may differ from other cultures. Also this can give a difference, as diet is one of the other major modifiable risk factors in the development of cardiovascular and brain diseases.

In two of the four studies (from part one), we found an interaction with type 2 diabetes. This indicates that individuals with type 2 diabetes potentially have a more beneficial effect of physical activity than individuals without type 2 diabetes. However, we cannot confirm this with our trial (chapter 6).

Statistical considerations

Most of the chapters are based on observational data. Observational studies have limitations. Conclusions about causation should be made with caution and it may be difficult to exclude reverse causality.⁸⁵ Therefore, it remains important to study the biological mechanism of the association. Also correcting for possible confounding makes

it more reasonable that the associations that we found possibly can be causal in the chapters.

For chapter 6, we used data of the intervention trial (LiPAT). In principle, causal inference in an intervention trial is more robust than in observational studies. However, the intervention did not result into more physical activity and less sedentary time, so in this case, it is not possible to draw any conclusion on the causation.

A sufficiently large sample size is important in order to obtain sufficient statistical power to detect significant associations. The absence of statistically significant associations in a large number of our analyses in chapters two and five may be the result of a limited sample size. However, given the large sample size of The Maastricht study makes this less likely. In chapter six (LiPAT), the results of our study may be limited by a small sample size. We tried to reduce the risk of insufficient power by conducting an a priori power calculation.

Conclusion and future directions

In conclusion, in this thesis we studied the relationship between physical activity and sedentary behaviour on the one hand and markers for cardiovascular and brain diseases on the other hand. In general, we can say that physical activity has a positive effect on markers for cardiovascular and brain diseases, especially in individuals with type 2 diabetes, although the effect of more intense physical activity can be potential harmful in that it may contribute to cerebral microbleeds. Changing behaviour of individuals with type 2 diabetes is very difficult and complex. The way and amount of coaching is important to implement more physical activity and less sedentary time.

For the future, physical activity has to be promoted to everyone, because it has a lot of advantageous. It is important to perform more research in longitudinal and clinical trial settings. Also, more research about the pathophysiological pathway that the effects of physical activity are larger in individuals with type 2 diabetes would be interesting. A large randomised controlled trial in individuals with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes to assess different types and intensities of coaching in combination with electronically devices (e.g. watches, applications) in different age categories, including the health outcomes, sustainability and costs of the coaching. This would be the ideal trial, but will be expensive.

References

- 1. WHO. The top 10 causes of death. Accessed January 5, 2023.2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/ news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death.
- 2. WHO. Demetia. Accessed January 5, 20232022. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dementia.
- 3. WHO. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). Assecced January 5, 20232021. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds).
- 4. WHO. Physical activity. Accessed January 5, 20232022. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity.
- 5. Stehouwer CDA. Microvascular Dysfunction and Hyperglycemia: A Vicious Cycle With Widespread Consequences. Diabetes. 2018;67(9):1729-41.
- Schram MT, Sep SJ, van der Kallen CJ, Dagnelie PC, Koster A, Schaper N, et al. The Maastricht Study: an extensive phenotyping study on determinants of type 2 diabetes, its complications and its comorbidities. Eur J Epidemiol. 2014;29(6):439-51.
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). Circulation. 2018;138(20):e618-e51.
- National Guideline C. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence: Guidelines. Chronic Heart Failure in Adults: Diagnosis and Management. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) NICE 2018..
- 9. Marshall L, Lee KK, Stewart SD, Wild A, Fujisawa T, Ferry AV, et al. Effect of Exercise Intensity and Duration on Cardiac Troponin Release. Circulation. 2020;141(1):83-5.
- Aengevaeren VL, Hopman MTE, Thompson PD, Bakker EA, George KP, Thijssen DHJ, et al. Exercise-Induced Cardiac Troponin I Increase and Incident Mortality and Cardiovascular Events. Circulation. 2019;140(10):804-14.
- 11. Eijsvogels TM, Januzzi JL, Taylor BA, Isaacs SK, D'Hemecourt P, Zaleski A, et al. Impact of statin use on exercise-induced cardiac troponin elevations. Am J Cardiol. 2014;114(4):624-8.
- Legaz-Arrese A, Carranza-García LE, Navarro-Orocio R, Valadez-Lira A, Mayolas-Pi C, Munguía-Izquierdo D, et al. Cardiac Biomarker Release after Endurance Exercise in Male and Female Adults and Adolescents. J Pediatr. 2017;191:96-102.
- 13. Aengevaeren VL, Baggish AL, Chung EH, George K, Kleiven Ø, Mingels AMA, et al. Exercise-Induced Cardiac Troponin Elevations: From Underlying Mechanisms to Clinical Relevance. Circulation. 2021;144(24):1955-72.
- 14. Mair J, Lindahl B, Hammarsten O, Müller C, Giannitsis E, Huber K, et al. How is cardiac troponin released from injured myocardium? Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2018;7(6):553-60.
- 15. Aakre KM, Omland T. Physical activity, exercise and cardiac troponins: Clinical implications. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2019;62(2):108-15.
- 16. Parsons TJ, Sartini C, Welsh P, Sattar N, Ash S, Lennon LT, et al. Objectively measured physical activity and cardiac biomarkers: A cross sectional population based study in older men. Int J Cardiol. 2018;254:322-7.
- 17. deFilippi CR, de Lemos JA, Tkaczuk AT, Christenson RH, Carnethon MR, Siscovick DS, et al. Physical activity, change in biomarkers of myocardial stress and injury, and subsequent heart failure risk in older adults. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;60(24):2539-47.
- 18. van der Horst IC, de Boer RA, Hillege HL, Boomsma F, Voors AA, van Veldhuisen DJ. Neurohormonal profile of patients with heart failure and diabetes. Neth Heart J. 2010;18(4):190-6.
- Magnusson M, Melander O, Israelsson B, Grubb A, Groop L, Jovinge S. Elevated plasma levels of NtproBNP in patients with type 2 diabetes without overt cardiovascular disease. Diabetes Care. 2004;27(8):1929-35.
- 20. Bhalla MA, Chiang A, Epshteyn VA, Kazanegra R, Bhalla V, Clopton P, et al. Prognostic role of B-type natriuretic peptide levels in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2004;44(5):1047-52.
- 21. Wallace TW, Abdullah SM, Drazner MH, Das SR, Khera A, McGuire DK, et al. Prevalence and determinants of troponin T elevation in the general population. Circulation. 2006;113(16):1958-65.

- 22. Everett BM, Cook NR, Magnone MC, Bobadilla M, Kim E, Rifai N, et al. Sensitive cardiac troponin T assay and the risk of incident cardiovascular disease in women with and without diabetes mellitus: the Women's Health Study. Circulation. 2011;123(24):2811-8.
- 23. Yiu KH, Lau KK, Zhao CT, Chan YH, Chen Y, Zhen Z, et al. Predictive value of high-sensitivity troponin-I for future adverse cardiovascular outcome in stable patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2014;13:63.
- 24. O'Donovan C, Lithander FE, Raftery T, Gormley J, Mahmud A, Hussey J. Inverse relationship between physical activity and arterial stiffness in adults with hypertension. J Phys Act Health. 2014;11(2):272-7.
- O'Donovan G, Lee IM, Hamer M, Stamatakis E. Association of "Weekend Warrior" and Other Leisure Time Physical Activity Patterns With Risks for All-Cause, Cardiovascular Disease, and Cancer Mortality. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(3):335-42.
- 26. Aoyagi Y, Park H, Kakiyama T, Park S, Yoshiuchi K, Shephard RJ. Yearlong physical activity and regional stiffness of arteries in older adults: the Nakanojo Study. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2010;109(3):455-64.
- Andersson C, Lyass A, Larson MG, Spartano NL, Vita JA, Benjamin EJ, et al. Physical activity measured by accelerometry and its associations with cardiac structure and vascular function in young and middle-aged adults. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2015;4(3):e001528.
- 28. Di Francescomarino S, Sciartilli A, Di Valerio V, Di Baldassarre A, Gallina S. The effect of physical exercise on endothelial function. Sports Medicine (Auckland, NZ). 2009;39(10):797-812.
- 29. Gielen S, Schuler G, Adams V. Cardiovascular effects of exercise training: molecular mechanisms. Circulation. 2010;122(12):1221-38.
- Koutroumpi M, Dimopoulos S, Psarra K, Kyprianou T, Nanas S. Circulating endothelial and progenitor cells: Evidence from acute and long-term exercise effects. World J Cardiol. 2012;4(12):312-26.
- Ino-Oka E, Sekino H, Ohtaki Y, Inooka H. Effects of daily physical activity level on the degree of sympathetic tone. Intern Med. 2009;48(1):19-24.
- Swierblewska E, Hering D, Kara T, Kunicka K, Kruszewski P, Bieniaszewski L, et al. An independent relationship between muscle sympathetic nerve activity and pulse wave velocity in normal humans. J Hypertens. 2010;28(5):979-84.
- 33. Paini A, Boutouyrie P, Calvet D, Tropeano AI, Laloux B, Laurent S. Carotid and aortic stiffness: determinants of discrepancies. Hypertension. 2006;47(3):371-6.
- Metzger JS, Catellier DJ, Evenson KR, Treuth MS, Rosamond WD, Siega-Riz AM. Associations between patterns of objectively measured physical activity and risk factors for the metabolic syndrome. Am J Health Promot. 2010;24(3):161-9.
- 35. Shiroma EJ, Lee IM, Schepps MA, Kamada M, Harris TB. Physical Activity Patterns and Mortality: The Weekend Warrior and Activity Bouts. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51(1):35-40.
- Parsons TJ, Sartini C, Ellins EA, Halcox JPJ, Smith KE, Ash S, et al. Objectively measured physical activity, sedentary time and subclinical vascular disease: Cross-sectional study in older British men. Prev Med. 2016;89:194-9.
- Lin X, Zhang X, Guo J, Roberts CK, McKenzie S, Wu WC, et al. Effects of Exercise Training on Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Biomarkers of Cardiometabolic Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. J Am Heart Assoc. 2015;4(7).
- Palmefors H, DuttaRoy S, Rundqvist B, Börjesson M. The effect of physical activity or exercise on key biomarkers in atherosclerosis--a systematic review. Atherosclerosis. 2014;235(1):150-61.
- Gram AS, Bladbjerg EM, Quist JS, Petersen MB, Rosenkilde M, Stallknecht B. Anti-inflammatory effects of active commuting and leisure time exercise in overweight and obese women and men: A randomized controlled trial. Atherosclerosis. 2017;265:318-24.
- 40. Abramson JL, Vaccarino V. Relationship between physical activity and inflammation among apparently healthy middle-aged and older US adults. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162(11):1286-92.
- Olson TP, Dengel DR, Leon AS, Schmitz KH. Changes in inflammatory biomarkers following one-year of moderate resistance training in overweight women. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007;31(6):996-1003.
- 42. Schumacher A, Peersen K, Sommervoll L, Seljeflot I, Arnesen H, Otterstad JE. Physical performance is associated with markers of vascular inflammation in patients with coronary heart disease. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2006;13(3):356-62.

- Adamopoulos S, Parissis J, Kroupis C, Georgiadis M, Karatzas D, Karavolias G, et al. Physical training reduces peripheral markers of inflammation in patients with chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J. 2001;22(9):791-7.
- 44. Qiu S, Cai X, Yin H, Sun Z, Zugel M, Steinacker JM, et al. Exercise training and endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2018;17(1):64.
- 45. Hoekstra SP, Bishop NC, Leicht CA. Elevating body termperature to reduce low-grade inflammation: a welcome strategy for those unable to exercise? Exerc Immunol Rev. 2020;26:42-55.
- Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Stensel DJ, Lindley MR, Mastana SS, Nimmo MA. The anti-inflammatory effects of exercise: mechanisms and implications for the prevention and treatment of disease. Nat Rev Immunol. 2011;11(9):607-15.
- Thosar SS, Johnson BD, Johnston JD, Wallace JP. Sitting and endothelial dysfunction: the role of shear stress. Med Sci Monit. 2012;18(12):Ra173-80.
- Henson J, Edwardson CL, Bodicoat DH, Bakrania K, Davies MJ, Khunti K, et al. Reallocating sitting time to standing or stepping through isotemporal analysis: associations with markers of chronic low-grade inflammation. J Sports Sci. 2018;36(14):1586-93.
- 49. Phillips CM, Dillon CB, Perry IJ. Does replacing sedentary behaviour with light or moderate to vigorous physical activity modulate inflammatory status in adults? Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2017;14(1):138.
- 50. Yates T, Khunti K, Wilmot EG, Brady E, Webb D, Srinivasan B, et al. Self-reported sitting time and markers of inflammation, insulin resistance, and adiposity. Am J Prev Med. 2012;42(1):1-7.
- Verdaet D, Dendale P, De Bacquer D, Delanghe J, Block P, De Backer G. Association between leisure time physical activity and markers of chronic inflammation related to coronary heart disease. Atherosclerosis. 2004;176(2):303-10.
- 52. Willey JZ, Moon YP, Paik MC, Yoshita M, Decarli C, Sacco RL, et al. Lower prevalence of silent brain infarcts in the physically active: the Northern Manhattan Study. Neurology. 2011;76(24):2112-8.
- 53. Dubbert PM, Penman AD, Evenson KR, Reeves RR, Mosley TH, Jr. Physical activity and subclinical MRI cerebral infarcts: the ARIC Study. J Neurol Sci. 2009;284(1-2):135-9.
- 54. Moniruzzaman M, Kadota A, Segawa H, Kondo K, Torii S, Miyagawa N, et al. Relationship Between Step Counts and Cerebral Small Vessel Disease in Japanese Men. Stroke. 2020;51(12):3584-91.
- 55. Erickson KI, Raji CA, Lopez OL, Becker JT, Rosano C, Newman AB, et al. Physical activity predicts gray matter volume in late adulthood: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Neurology. 2010;75(16):1415-22.
- 56. Erickson KI, Leckie RL, Weinstein AM. Physical activity, fitness, and gray matter volume. Neurobiol Aging. 2014;35 Suppl 2:S20-8.
- 57. Arnardottir NY, Koster A, Domelen DRV, Brychta RJ, Caserotti P, Eiriksdottir G, et al. Association of change in brain structure to objectively measured physical activity and sedentary behavior in older adults: Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility-Reykjavik Study. Behav Brain Res. 2016;296:118-24.
- Wanigatunga AA, Wang H, An Y, Simonsick EM, Tian Q, Davatzikos C, et al. Association Between Brain Volumes and Patterns of Physical Activity in Community-Dwelling Older Adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2021;76(8):1504-11.
- Raichlen DA, Klimentidis YC, Bharadwaj PK, Alexander GE. Differential associations of engagement in physical activity and estimated cardiorespiratory fitness with brain volume in middle-aged to older adults. Brain Imaging Behav. 2019.
- 60. Domingos C, Pêgo JM, Santos NC. Effects of physical activity on brain function and structure in older adults: A systematic review. Behav Brain Res. 2021;402:113061.
- 61. Varma VR, Chuang YF, Harris GC, Tan EJ, Carlson MC. Low-intensity daily walking activity is associated with hippocampal volume in older adults. Hippocampus. 2015;25(5):605-15.
- 62. Tseng BY, Gundapuneedi T, Khan MA, Diaz-Arrastia R, Levine BD, Lu H, et al. White matter integrity in physically fit older adults. Neuroimage. 2013;82:510-6.
- Spartano NL, Davis-Plourde KL, Himali JJ, Andersson C, Pase MP, Maillard P, et al. Association of Accelerometer-Measured Light-Intensity Physical Activity With Brain Volume: The Framingham Heart Study. JAMA Network Open. 2019;2(4):e192745.
- 64. Kooistra M, Boss HM, van der Graaf Y, Kappelle LJ, Biessels GJ, Geerlings MI. Physical activity, structural brain changes and cognitive decline. The SMART-MR study. Atherosclerosis. 2014;234(1):47-53.
- 65. Landman TR, Thijssen DH, Tuladhar AM, de Leeuw FE. Relation between physical activity and cerebral small vessel disease: A nine-year prospective cohort study. Int J Stroke. 2021;16(8):962-71.

- 66. Sharman JE, LaGerche A. Exercise blood pressure: clinical relevance and correct measurement. J Hum Hypertens. 2015;29(6):351-8.
- 67. Balducci S, D'Errico V, Haxhi J, Sacchetti M, Orlando G, Cardelli P, et al. Effect of a Behavioral Intervention Strategy on Sustained Change in Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: The IDES_2 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2019;321(9):880-90.
- Balducci S, Haxhi J, Sacchetti M, Orlando G, Cardelli P, Vitale M, et al. Relationships of Changes in Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior With Changes in Physical Fitness and Cardiometabolic Risk Profile in Individuals With Type 2 Diabetes: The Italian Diabetes and Exercise Study 2 (IDES_2). Diabetes Care. 2022;45(1):213-21.
- 69. Nieste I, Franssen WMA, Spaas J, Bruckers L, Savelberg H, Eijnde BO. Lifestyle interventions to reduce sedentary behaviour in clinical populations: A systematic review and meta-analysis of different strategies and effects on cardiometabolic health. Prev Med. 2021;148:106593.
- Franssen WMA, Franssen G, Spaas J, Solmi F, Eijnde BO. Can consumer wearable activity tracker-based interventions improve physical activity and cardiometabolic health in patients with chronic diseases? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2020;17(1):57.
- Lean MEJ, Leslie WS, Barnes AC, Brosnahan N, Thom G, McCombie L, et al. Durability of a primary careled weight-management intervention for remission of type 2 diabetes: 2-year results of the DiRECT openlabel, cluster-randomised trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019;7(5):344-55.
- 72. Aguilar-Farias N, Martino-Fuentealba P, Salom-Diaz N, Brown WJ. How many days are enough for measuring weekly activity behaviours with the ActivPAL in adults? J Sci Med Sport. 2019;22(6):684-8.
- Sze J, Mooney J, Barzi F, Hillis GS, Chow CK. Cardiac Troponin and its Relationship to Cardiovascular Outcomes in Community Populations - A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Heart Lung Circ. 2016;25(3):217-28.
- 74. Eggers KM, Jernberg T, Lindahl B. Cardiac Troponin Elevation in Patients Without a Specific Diagnosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(1):1-9.
- 75. de Jager J, Dekker JM, Kooy A, Kostense PJ, Nijpels G, Heine RJ, et al. Endothelial dysfunction and lowgrade inflammation explain much of the excess cardiovascular mortality in individuals with type 2 diabetes: the Hoorn Study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2006;26(5):1086-93.
- Jager A, van Hinsbergh VW, Kostense PJ, Emeis JJ, Yudkin JS, Nijpels G, et al. von Willebrand factor, Creactive protein, and 5-year mortality in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects: the Hoorn Study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 1999;19(12):3071-8.
- 77. Blankenberg S, Rupprecht HJ, Bickel C, Peetz D, Hafner G, Tiret L, et al. Circulating cell adhesion molecules and death in patients with coronary artery disease. Circulation. 2001;104(12):1336-42.
- 78. Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Rifai N. C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. N Engl J Med. 2000;342(12):836-43.
- 79. Hansson GK. Inflammation, atherosclerosis, and coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(16):1685-95.
- Geovanini GR, Libby P. Atherosclerosis and inflammation: overview and updates. Clin Sci (Lond). 2018;132(12):1243-52.
- Wardlaw JM, Smith EE, Biessels GJ, Cordonnier C, Fazekas F, Frayne R, et al. Neuroimaging standards for research into small vessel disease and its contribution to ageing and neurodegeneration. Lancet Neurol. 2013;12(8):822-38.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16(9):606-13.
- McHorney CA, Ware JE, Jr., Raczek AE. The MOS 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): II. Psychometric and clinical tests of validity in measuring physical and mental health constructs. Med Care. 1993;31(3):247-63.
- Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. Ann Med. 2001;33(5):337-43.
- 85. Kenneth J Rothman TLL, Tyler J VanderWeele, Sebastien Haneuse. Modern Epidemiology. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer / Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2021.
- 86. Delgado-Rodríguez M, Llorca J. Bias. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2004;58(8):635-41.
- 87. Gao J, Kamphuis CBM, Dijst M, Helbich M. The role of the natural and built environment in cycling duration in the Netherlands. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2018;15(1):82.