# On exploring always-connected temporal graphs of small pathwidth 

Citation for published version (APA):
Bodlaender, H. L., \& van der Zanden, T. C. (2019). On exploring always-connected temporal graphs of small pathwidth. Information Processing Letters, 142, 68-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipl.2018.10.016

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/02/2019

## DOI:

10.1016/j.ipl.2018.10.016

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Document license:

Taverne

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record.
People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.
Link to publication


## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25 fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:
www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:
repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl
providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# On exploring always-connected temporal graphs of small pathwidth 

Hans L. Bodlaender ${ }^{\text {a,b, }, *, 1}$, Tom C. van der Zanden ${ }^{\text {a }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80.089, 3508 TB Utrecht, the Netherlands<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

## A R T I C L E I N F O

## Article history:

Received 1 August 2018
Received in revised form 24 October 2018
Accepted 24 October 2018
Available online 25 October 2018
Communicated by Marcin Pilipczuk

## Keywords:

Graph algorithms
Computational complexity
Temporal graphs
Graph exploration
Pathwidth


#### Abstract

We show that the Temporal Graph Exploration Problem is NP-complete, even when the underlying graph has pathwidth 2 and at each time step, the current graph is connected.
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## 1. Introduction

Networks can change during time: roads can be blocked or built, friendships can wither or new friendships are formed, connections in a computer network can go down or be made available, etc. Temporal graphs can serve as a model for such changing networks.

A temporal graph is a finite sequence of graphs with the same vertex set, i.e., a temporal graph $\mathcal{G}$ is given by a series of graphs $G_{1}=\left(V, E_{1}\right), G_{2}=\left(V, E_{2}\right), \ldots, G_{L}=$ $\left(V, E_{L}\right)$. Each $i, 1 \leq i \leq L$ is called a time step. The graph $G_{i}$ is called the current graph at time step $i$. Note that while the vertex set is the same at each time step, the set of edges

[^0]can (and in general will) be different at the different time steps. The main intuition is that at time step $i$, only the edges in $G_{i}$ exist and can be used.

The underlying graph is formed by taking the union of the graphs at the different time steps, i.e., for a temporal graph $G_{1}=\left(V, E_{1}\right), G_{2}=\left(V, E_{2}\right), \ldots, G_{L}=\left(V, E_{L}\right)$, the underlying graph is the graph $\left(V, E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup \cdots \cup E_{L}\right)$, so an edge exists in the underlying graph if and only if it exists in at least one time step.

In temporal graphs, we can define a temporal walk: we have an explorer who at time step 0 is at some $s$; at each time step $i$ she can move over an edge in $G_{i}$ or remain at her current location. More precisely, a temporal walk in temporal graph $G_{1}=\left(V, E_{1}\right), G_{2}=\left(V, E_{2}\right), \ldots, G_{L}=$ $\left(V, E_{L}\right)$ is a sequence of vertices $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{K}$ with $K \leq L$, such that for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq K, v_{i-1}=v_{i}$ or $\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\} \in E_{i}$. We say that the walk is at $v_{i-1}$ at the beginning of time step $i$, and at $v_{i}$ at the end of time step $i$.

In this note, we study the complexity of a problem on temporal graphs: the Temporal Graph Exploration problem, as introduced by Michail and Spirakis [9]. In the Temporal Graph Exploration problem, we are given a tempo-
ral graph, a specified start vertex $s$, and an integer $L$, and ask if there is a temporal walk with $L$ time steps that visits all vertices in the temporal graph at least once. A variant is when we require that the walk ends at the starting vertex $s$; we denote this by RTB Temporal Graph Exploration, with RTB the acronym of return to base. (See [1].)

Background It is easy to see that even if the graphs do not change over time, the Temporal Graph Exploration problem is NP-complete, as it contains Hamiltonian Path as a special case (set $L=n-1$.) Michail and Spirakis [9] introduced the problem, showed that it does not have a $c$-approximation, unless $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$, and obtained approximation algorithms for several special cases.

Recently, Akrida et al. [1] studied the Temporal Graph Exploration problem when the underlying graph is a star $K_{1, r}$. Even when each edge exists in at most six time steps, the problem is NP-complete. We use the following of their results as starting point.

Theorem 1 (Akrida et al. [1]). RTB Temporal Graph ExploRATION is NP-complete, when the underlying graph is a star, and each edge exists in at most six graphs $G_{i}$, and the start and end vertex is the center of the star.

An important special case is when we require that at each time step, the current graph $G_{i}$ is connected. We use the term always-connected to denote this case, i.e., a temporal graph $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{L}$ is always-connected, if and only if each $G_{i}$ is a connected graph. Now, if the number of time steps $L$ is sufficiently large compared to the number of vertices $n$, it is always possible to explore an alwaysconnected temporal graph. Specifically, Erlebach et al. [5] showed that an always-connected temporal graph can be explored in $O\left(t^{2} n \sqrt{n} \log (n)\right)$ time steps, where $t$ is the treewidth of the underlying graph. Similarly, if the underlying graph is a 2 by $n$ grid then $O\left(n \log ^{3} n\right)$ time steps always suffice.

For more results, including special cases, approximation algorithms and inapproximability results, see [1,5,9], and see [8] for a survey.

Graphs of small treewidth and pathwidth and our contribution It is well known that problems that are intractable (e.g., NP-hard) on general graphs become easier (e.g., linear time solvable) when restricted to graphs of bounded treewidth (see e.g., [3, Chapter 7].) An example is Hamiltonian Path, which can be solved in $O\left(2^{O(t)} n\right)$ time on graphs of treewidth $t[2,4]$. Unfortunately, these positive results appear not to carry over to temporal graphs: we show that the Temporal Graph Exploration problem is NP-hard for always-connected temporal graphs, even when the underlying graph has pathwidth 2 (and thus also treewidth 2); moreover, at each time step, the current graph is a tree. Our result builds upon the hardness result by Akrida 1, adding a construction that ensures the always-connectedness; a star has pathwidth one; applying our construction adds one to the pathwidth. One easily observes that the Temporal Graph Exploration problem for always-connected graphs with treewidth 1 is trivial: a connected graph with treewidth 1 is a tree, and thus, all
graphs $G_{i}$ are equal to each other, and one can easily compute in linear time the number of steps to explore this fixed tree.

Interestingly, there are other problems on temporal graphs that do become tractable when the treewidth is bounded. Specifically, Fluschnik et al. [6] showed that finding a small temporal separator becomes tractable when the underlying graph has bounded treewidth; the problem is NP-hard in general [7].

Some graph theoretic definitions The pathwidth of graphs was defined by Robertson and Seymour [11]. A path decomposition of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a sequence of subsets (called bags) of $V\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$, such that $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} X_{r}=V$, for all $\{v, w\} \in E$, there is an $i$ with $v, w \in \bar{X}_{i}$, and if $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3} \leq r$, then $X_{i_{1}} \cap X_{i_{3}} \subseteq X_{i_{2}}$. The width of a path decomposition $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ equals $\max _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left|X_{i}\right|-1$; the pathwidth of a graph $G$ is the minimum width of a path decomposition of $G$. The pathwidth of a graph is an upper bound for its treewidth. (See e.g. [3, Chapter 7].)
$K_{1, r}$ is a star graph with $r+1$ vertices, i.e., we have one vertex of degree $r$ which is adjacent to the remaining $r$ vertices, which have degree 1 .

## 2. Hardness result

We now give our main result.

Theorem 2. The Temporal Graph Exploration Problem is NP-complete, even if each graph $G_{i}=\left(V, E_{i}\right)$ is a tree, and the underlying graph has pathwidth 2.

Proof. We use a polynomial-time reduction from RTB Temporal Graph Exploration for graphs whose underlying graph is a star (see Theorem 1) to our problem. Suppose we have a temporal graph $\mathcal{K}_{1, n-1}$, whose underlying graph is a star, given by a series of subgraphs of $K_{1, n-1}$, $G_{1}=\left(V, E_{1}\right), \ldots, G_{L}=\left(V, E_{L}\right)$, and a start vertex $s$, which is the center of the star. We denote the vertices of $K_{1, n-1}$ by $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n-1}$, with $s=v_{0}$.

We now build a new temporal graph, as follows. Set $Q=L \cdot(n+3)$.

The vertex set of the new graph consists of $V$ and $Q+1$ new vertices. The new vertices will form a path. The new vertices are denoted $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{Q}$ and called path vertices; the vertices in $V$ are called star vertices.

We now define a temporal graph $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$, given by a series of graphs $G_{i}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq L+Q+1$. $G_{i}^{\prime}$ has the following edges:

- For each $i$, the vertices $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{Q}$ form a path: we have edges $\left\{p_{j}, p_{j+1}\right\}$ for $1 \leq j<Q$.
- If $i \leq L$, all edges in $G_{i}$ are also edges in $G_{i}^{\prime}$.
- If $i \leq L$, for each star vertex $v_{j} \in V$ : if there is a connected component of $G_{i}$ with vertex set $W$, such that $j=\min _{v_{j^{\prime}} \in W} j^{\prime}$, then we have an edge $\left\{v_{j}, p_{L \cdot(j+2)}\right\}$. I.e., $v_{j}$ has the smallest index $j$ over all vertices in the same connected component of $G_{i}$.
- If $i>L$, we have an edge from each star vertex $v_{i} \neq s$ to $s$, and an edge from $s$ to $p_{0}$.


Fig. 1. Illustration to the proof of Theorem 2. Note that edges between path vertices are present at each time step; other edges are present in a subset of the time steps.

We look for a temporal walk that starts at $s$, visits all vertices, and takes $L+Q+1$ time steps. See Fig. 1 for an illustration of the construction.

It is not hard to see that each $G_{i}^{\prime}$ is a tree. If $i \leq L$, then $G_{i}^{\prime}$ is obtained by adding the path to $G_{i}$ and one edge from the path to each connected component of $G_{i}$. If $i \geq L$, then $G_{i}^{\prime}$ is obtained taking a path and $K_{1, n}$ and adding an edge between a path and star vertex.

The idea behind the proof is that during the first $L$ time steps, we explore the star vertices as normal, while the path serves to keep the graph connected but can not be explored. To explore the path vertices, we must make one single pass from $p_{0}$ to $p_{Q}$, as we do not have sufficient time steps to traverse either the section from $p_{0}$ to $p_{2 L-1}$ or that from $p_{Q-2 L+1}$ twice: traversing the edges between star vertices and path vertices (other than edge $\left\{s, p_{0}\right\}$ ) cannot contribute to a solution.

Lemma 3. There is a temporal walk in $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ that starts at $s$ and visits all vertices in $G^{\prime}$ in $L+Q+1$ time steps, if and only if there is a temporal walk in the temporal star $\mathcal{K}_{1, n-1}$ that starts at $s$, ends in $s$ and visits all vertices in $\mathcal{K}_{1, n-1}$ in $L$ time steps.

Proof. First, suppose that there is a temporal walk in $\mathcal{K}_{1, n-1}$ that starts at $s$, ends at $s$ and visits all vertices in at most $L$ time steps. Then, we visit all vertices in $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$, by first making the temporal walk in the star, if necessary wait in $s$ until the end of time step $L$ and at time step $L+1$ move from $s$ to $p_{0}$, and then visit all path vertices by traversing the path in the remaining $Q$ time steps.

Suppose we have a temporal walk that starts at $s$ and visits all vertices in $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ in at most $L+Q+1$ time steps.

Claim 4. If we are at a path vertex $p_{i}$ at the end of time step $\alpha \leq L$, then $L<i<Q-L$.

Proof. If we are at a path vertex $p_{i}$ at the end of time step $\alpha \leq L$, then we moved one or more times from a star vertex to a path vertex during the first $\alpha$ time steps. Consider the last of these moves, say that we moved at time step $\beta \leq \alpha$ from a star vertex $v_{j}$ to a path vertex $p_{j^{\prime}}$; between time step $\beta+1$ and $\alpha$ we stay at path vertices. As $\beta \leq L$, the only path vertex adjacent to $v_{j}$ in $G_{\beta}$ is $p_{L \cdot(j+2)}$, so $j^{\prime}=L \cdot(j+2)$.

We can make less than $L$ steps after reaching $p_{j^{\prime}}$ until time step $\alpha \leq L$, hence $j^{\prime}-L<i<j^{\prime}+L$. Now, $L=L \cdot(0+$ 2) $-L \leq L \cdot(j+2)-L=j^{\prime}-L<i<j^{\prime}+L=L \cdot(j+2)+L \leq$ $L \cdot(n-1+2)+L=(n+2) \cdot L=Q-L$. This ends the proof of Claim 4.

Claim 5. At the end of time step $L$, we are in vertex $s$.
Proof. Suppose not. Note that both $p_{0}$ and $p_{Q}$ are not yet visited, by Claim 4. If we are at a star vertex $v_{i} \neq s$ at the end of time step $L$, then we can only visit the path vertices by first moving to $s$, then to $p_{0}$, and then visiting the path vertices in order; this costs one time step too many.

Suppose we are at a path vertex $p_{i}$ at the end of time step $L$. First, suppose we visit $p_{0}$ before $p_{Q}$. Then, we must make at least $i$ steps from $p_{i}$ to $p_{0}$, and then $Q$ steps from $p_{0}$ to $p_{Q}$. By Claim 4, $i>L$. So we need to make at least $i+Q>L+Q$ steps after time step $L$, which is a contradiction with the assumption that the walk takes $L+Q+1$ time steps. Now, suppose we visit $p_{Q}$ before $p_{0}$. Then we must make at least $Q-i$ steps from $p_{i}$ to $p_{Q}$, and then $Q$ steps from $p_{Q}$ to $p_{0}$. By Claim $4, Q-i>L$; we need to make at least $2 Q-i>L+Q$ steps after time step $L$, again contradicting the assumption that the walk takes $L+Q+1$ time steps. This ends the proof of Claim 5.

Claim 6. If at time step $i \leq L$ we move from a star vertex $v_{i}$ to a path vertex $p_{j}$, then the first star vertex visited after time step $i$ is again $v_{i}$, and this move to $v_{i}$ will be made before the end of time step $L$.

Proof. By Claim 5, we must move to a star vertex before the end of time step $L$. If $p_{j^{\prime}}$ is a neighbor of a star vertex and $j \neq j^{\prime}$, then $p_{j^{\prime}}$ is at least $L$ steps on the path away from $p_{j}$, so we cannot reach $p_{j^{\prime}}$ before time step $L$, hence we must move back to the star from $p_{j}$, and thus move to $v_{i}$. This ends the proof of Claim 6.

Now, we can finish the proof of Lemma 3. Take from the walk in $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ the first $L$ time steps. Change this by replacing each move to a path vertex by a step where the explorer does not move. I.e., when the walk in $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ moves from star vertex $v_{i}$ to a path vertex, then we stay in $v_{i}$ until the time step where the walk in $G^{\prime}$ moves back to the star by Claim 6 , this is a move to $v_{i}$. In this way, we obtain a walk in $\mathcal{K}_{1, n-1}$ that visits all vertices in $L$ time steps. This ends the proof of Lemma 3.

It remains to show that the underlying graph has pathwidth 2 . If we remove $s$ from the underlying graph, then we obtain a caterpillar: a graph that can be obtained by taking a path, and adding vertices of degree one, adjacent to a path vertex. These have pathwidth 1 [10]; now add $s$ to all bags and we obtain a path decomposition of the underlying graph of $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ of width 2 .

A minor variation of the proof gives also the following result.

Theorem 7. The RTB Temporal Graph Exploration Problem is NP-complete, even if each graph $G_{i}=\left(V, E_{i}\right)$ is a tree, and the underlying graph has pathwidth 2 .

Proof. Modify the proof of Theorem 2 as follows: add one time step; the current graph in the last time step has an edge, from $p_{Q}$ to $s$, with additional edges added to make
the graph into a tree (e.g., we could take the graph from the previous time step, add the edge ( $p_{Q}, s$ ) and break the thus created cycle by removing one (other) edge).

## 3. Conclusions

In this note, we showed that the Temporal Graph Exploration Problem is NP-complete, even when we require that at each time step, the graph is connected (i.e., we have an 'always-connected temporal graph'), or more specifically a tree, and the underlying graph (i.e., the graph where an edge exists whenever it exists for at least one time step) has pathwidth 2, and hence treewidth 2 . This contrasts many other results for graphs of bounded treewidth, including a polynomial time algorithm for finding small temporal separators for graphs of small treewidth [6].

For always-connected temporal graphs, the case that the treewidth is 1 becomes trivial (as this deletes all temporal effects). For temporal graphs without the condition of always-connectedness, the Temporal Graph Exploration Problem is NP-complete for graphs of treewidth 1 by the results of Akrida et al. [1]. Interesting open cases are when the underlying graph is outerplanar, or an almost tree, i.e., can be obtained by adding one edge to a tree, and the temporal graph is always-connected.
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